

good engineering judgment. We recommend that you base your credit/adjustment on A to B testing of pairs of engines/vehicles differing only with respect to the technology in question.

(1) Calculate improvement factors as the ratio of in-use emissions with the technology divided by the in-use emissions without the technology. Adjust the emission results by multiplying by the improvement factor. Use the improvement-factor approach where good engineering judgment indicates that the actual benefit will be proportional to emissions measured over the test procedures specified in this part. For example, the benefits from technologies that reduce engine operation would generally be proportional to the engine's emission rate.

(2) Calculate separate credits based on the difference between the in-use emission rate (g/ton-mile) with the technology and the in-use emission rate without the technology. Multiply this difference by the number of engines, standard payload, and useful life. We may also allow you to calculate the credits based on g/hp-hr emission rates. Use the separate-credit approach where good engineering judgment indicates that the actual benefit will not be proportional to emissions measured over the test procedures specified in this part.

(3) We may require you to discount or otherwise adjust your improvement factor or credit to account for uncertainty or other relevant factors.

(c) Send your request to the Designated Compliance Officer. Include a detailed description of the technology and a recommended test plan. Also state whether you recommend applying these provisions using the improvement-factor method or the separate-credit method. We recommend that you do not begin collecting test data (for submission to EPA) before contacting us. For technologies for which the vehicle manufacturer could also claim credits (such as transmissions in certain circumstances), we may require you to include a letter from the vehicle manufacturer stating that it will not seek credits for the same technology.

(d) We may seek public comment on your request, consistent with the provisions of 40 CFR 86.1866–12(d)(3). How-

ever, we will generally not seek public comment on credits/adjustments based on A to B engine dynamometer testing, chassis testing, or in-use testing.

**§ 1036.615 Engines with Rankine cycle waste heat recovery and hybrid powertrains.**

This section specifies how to generate advanced technology-specific emission credits for hybrid powertrains that include energy storage systems and regenerative braking (including regenerative engine braking) and for engines that include Rankine-cycle (or other bottoming cycle) exhaust energy recovery systems.

(a) *Hybrid powertrains.* The following provisions apply for pre-transmission and post-transmission hybrid powertrains:

(1) Pre-transmission hybrid powertrains are those engine systems that include features that recover and store energy during engine motoring operation but not from the vehicle wheels. These powertrains are tested using the hybrid engine test procedures of 40 CFR part 1065 or using the post-transmission test procedures in 40 CFR 1037.550.

(2) Post-transmission hybrid powertrains are those powertrains that include features that recover and store energy from braking but that cannot function as hybrids without the transmission. These powertrains must have a single output shaft to the final drive and are tested by simulating the chassis test procedure applicable for hybrid vehicles under 40 CFR 1037.550. You need our approval before you begin testing.

(b) *Rankine engines.* Test engines that include Rankine-cycle exhaust energy recovery systems according to the test procedures specified in subpart F of this part unless we approve alternate procedures.

(c) *Calculating credits.* Calculate credits as specified in subpart H of this part. Credits generated from engines and powertrains certified under this section may be used in other averaging sets as described in § 1036.740(d). Credits may not be generated under this section and 40 CFR 1037.615 for the same technology on the same vehicle.

(d) *Innovative technologies.* You may certify using both provisions of this section and the innovative technology provisions of §1036.610, provided you do not double count emission benefits.

**§ 1036.620 Alternate CO<sub>2</sub> standards based on model year 2011 compression-ignition engines.**

For model years 2014 through 2016, you may certify your compression-ignition engines to the CO<sub>2</sub> standards of this section instead of the CO<sub>2</sub> standards in §1036.108. However, you may not certify engines to these alternate standards if they are part of an averaging set in which you carry a balance of banked credits. You may submit applications for certifications before using up banked credits in the averaging set, but such certificates will not become effective until you have used up (or retired) your banked credits in the averaging set. For purposes of this section, you are deemed to carry credits in an averaging set if you carry credits from advanced technology that are allowed to be used in that averaging set.

(a) The standards of this section are determined from the measured emission rate of the test engine of the applicable baseline 2011 engine family(ies) as described in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section. Calculate the CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate of the baseline test engine using the same equations used for showing compliance with the otherwise applicable standard. The alternate CO<sub>2</sub> standard for light and medium heavy-duty vocational-certified engines (certified for CO<sub>2</sub> using the transient cycle) is equal to the baseline emission rate multiplied by 0.975. The alternate CO<sub>2</sub> standard for tractor-certified engines (certified for CO<sub>2</sub> using the SET cycle) and all other heavy heavy-duty engines is equal to the baseline emission rate multiplied by 0.970. The in-use FEL for these engines is equal to the alternate standard multiplied by 1.03.

(b) This paragraph (b) applies if you do not certify all your engine families in the averaging set to the alternate standards of this section. Identify separate baseline engine families for each engine family that you are certifying to the alternate standards of this section. For an engine family to be consid-

ered the baseline engine family, it must meet the following criteria:

(1) It must have been certified to all applicable emission standards in model year 2011. If the baseline engine was certified to a NO<sub>x</sub> FEL above the standard and incorporated the same emission control technologies as the new engine family, you may adjust the baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate to be equivalent to an engine meeting the 0.20 g/hp-hr NO<sub>x</sub> standard (or your higher FEL as specified in this paragraph (b)(1)), using certification results from model years 2009 through 2011, consistent with good engineering judgment.

(i) Use the following equation to relate model year 2009–2011 NO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates (g/hp-hr):  $CO_2 = a \times \log(NO_x) + b$ .

(ii) For model year 2014–2016 engines certified to NO<sub>x</sub> FELs above 0.20 g/hp-hr, correct the baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to the actual NO<sub>x</sub> FELs of the 2014–2016 engines.

(iii) Calculate separate adjustments for transient and SET emissions.

(2) The baseline configuration tested for certification must have the same engine displacement as the engines in the engine family being certified to the alternate standards, and its rated power must be within five percent of the highest rated power in the engine family being certified to the alternate standards.

(3) The model year 2011 U.S.-directed production volume of the configuration tested must be at least one percent of the total 2011 U.S.-directed production volume for the engine family.

(4) The tested configuration must have cycle-weighted BSFC equivalent to or better than all other configurations in the engine family.

(c) This paragraph (c) applies if you certify all your engine families in the primary intended service class to the alternate standards of this section. For purposes of this section, you may combine light heavy-duty and medium heavy-duty engines into a single averaging set. Determine your baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate as the production-weighted emission rate of the certified engine families you produced in the 2011 model year. If you produce engines